



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,254	02/27/2002	Shigeru Nakamoto	500.41300X00	2555
20457 7590 01/02/2004 ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			EXAMINER	
			GANDHI, JAYPRAKASH N	
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			2125	10
		DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		PL6				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/083,254	NAKAMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jayprakash N Gandhi	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daily will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	October 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	l .					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the foreign application.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). st of the certified copies not receiv stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	tion No ved in this National Stage red. (e) (to a provisional application)				
37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of	rovisional application has been re stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 1-4	w/PTO 412\ Pan== N=/=\				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/083,254

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Song et al. (U. S. Patent 6,487,472).

Song discloses all the claimed invention of a semiconductor manufacturing apparatus including, a main unit 1, recording/operating means 20, display (diagnosis apparatus) 10, a program (built-in within 10/20), figures 6 and 7, column 7, lines 1-60⁺ and column 8, lines 1⁺.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,254

Art Unit: 2125

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed October 23, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's argument that, Song et al. fails to disclose "a display of the present operation, and simulation of the operation with the display", Song et al. clearly discloses all the structural claimed elements and also in column 10, lines 64⁺, discloses the function of the display with a control part, which can store (may be reproducibly simulated) and display.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,254

Art Unit: 2125

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayprakash N Gandhi whose telephone number is 703-305-7513. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 (Mon. - Thu.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P Picard can be reached on 703-308-0538. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5484.

Jayprakash N Gandhi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125

JNG